

Literature Review: Arts4SocialJustice

Madison Byblow

Lakehead University

Introduction

This review of literature is particularly interested in two areas of education, education for social justice and arts education. In conducting a review of some relevant literature, I began to explore social justice education and art education, considering how the two overlapped or interacted in both the formal education system and outside in non-formal settings. More specifically, I began looking at the area of social justice and art to examine some of the prominent texts that stood out. Since education in the arts is part of the formal curriculum, it becomes much easier to define, understand, and examine. Social justice education, on the other hand, while still a component, is less formal than the former. This hinders definition and explanation within the public education system, making it more difficult to understand such a complex and layered component of education. In this review, I seek to understand how social justice education is defined in literature and how it has interacted with arts education. Furthermore, I seek to examine some key points and takeaways from a research journey that considers both social justice and art education as it has occurred over the last 15 years globally. Finally, I seek to explore prominent points of praxis that have successfully woven social justice and arts education in relevant literature. This will help provide a frame for understanding what is happening now in the field of social justice arts education. This review will offer insight for those working in the field of social justice education, arts education, or both. While I am concerned primarily with social justice arts education at the elementary level in Canada, this review will address the elementary, secondary, and post-secondary systems worldwide as well as social justice arts education outside the public school system for a more holistic and practical understanding. However, the main concern is to provide a frame of reference of the current conversation to move forward in the field of social justice art education. This review contains

some of the contemporary literature on the topic, and reflects the research journey guiding towards the creation of a popular education manual for elementary educators, which will support social justice art education at an elementary level while connecting with the Ontario curriculum.

Literature Review

Defining Social Justice Education

There are a number of different facets to general, public education in Ontario. Overall, Ontario's education system attempts to provide equitable access to education for students across the board. This inclusive education strategy includes a specific plan for equity that has been developed and should be integrated across curricular areas in Ontario classrooms (Ontario, 2014). In some cases, equitable education can be seen and understood as multicultural education, Afrocentric education, or social justice education. Most prominently, this paper will discuss social justice education as it relates to receiving an equitable education across the board, as well as how equitable and inclusive education can develop critically conscious students in schools. This will be done in an attempt to understand how social justice education is discussed in relevant texts in conjunction with art education. First, it is relevant to consider how social justice education is determined across the board in education.

While it is easy to understand and define public school subjects such as math, science, language, and art, many scholars have discussed the difficulties in defining social justice education (Cammarota, 2011; Dewhurst, 2010; Dewhurst, 2011; Hytten & Bettez, 2011; North, 2008). In some ways, the term social justice education is difficult to understand because it is not clearly defined across the board through discourses, despite its wide use (Hytten & Bettez, 2011, p. 9). Often seen as a catchphrase used in education (North, 2008), social justice education could easily be added or removed without any significant conceptual understanding of how it can work within the system. In other ways, social justice education is difficult to understand because it is not a static concept in nature (North, 2008, p. 1183). In fact, social justice education must have a multiplicity of approaches in order for it to be fair, just, and equitable (North, 2008, p. 1183).

More so, social justice education requires a redistribution of power as this allows for a more equalized system (North, 2008). However, this could mean that the traditional teacher student dynamic is flipped upside down creating some unease with definition, since social justice education will never look identical in each classroom and can look quite different than mainstream, conventional classroom pedagogy. Despite a vast contribution of research in the area of social justice education, the definition remains muddy for some since it is ever changing and complex.

From a practical standpoint social justice education is often discussed in relation to models used by scholars and educators in practice. Some prominent texts have provided these models for use to help scholars and educators understand how social justice education works in action. Julio Cammarota (2011) outlines a social justice pedagogy that aims to increase the praxis of young people, addressing three different stages of awareness for young people to engage in (p. 833). In this model, Cammarota (2011) suggests that social justice education can occur through participatory action research, where participants have self-awareness, community awareness, and global awareness (p. 833-834). These three stages are helpful in understanding again how social justice education is not described as a static or linear process, but something that changes from group to group, classroom to classroom, and circumstance to circumstance.

Other authors have reviewed different approaches to social justice education to give an overview of conceptual frameworks that frame the approaches to social justice education in relevant literature. Connie North (2008) presents and evaluates approaches to social justice education through a framework that outlines three major concepts as markers for this kind of work (p. 1184). The three major concepts that North (2008) suggests must exist in social justice education are recognition and redistribution, micro and marco levels of power redistribution, and

a tension between knowledge and action. By providing this conceptual framework North (2008) helps determine and define how social justice education operates, allowing one to better understand the complex layers involved in social justice education pedagogies.

Because social justice education is so complex and multifaceted, it is often found within other discourses such as democratic education or citizenship education as well. In doing research, some articles supported the concepts of social justice education under a different umbrella such as democratic citizenship or education for democracy. Joel Westheimer and Joseph Kahne (2004) discuss that education for democratic citizenship is a spectrum in which the third step is the justice-oriented citizen (p. 239). Before this third step however, are the personally responsible citizen and the participatory citizen (Westheimer and Kahne, 2004, p. 239). These three levels of citizenship can be understood as levels of social justice education that aim to support an effective democratic society just as social justice education does (Westheimer and Kahne, 2004, p. 239). When education for democratic citizenship is examined, we can see that it aims to encourage critical thinking such as social justice education, and understanding it as another facet of social justice education can aid in understanding the discourse as a whole.

Apart from practice, social justice education can be difficult to define because it is discussed in literature in multiple different ways. Social justice education has been discussed in relation to conceptual understandings, where frameworks, defining features, or categories have been provided to help educators and researchers better understand the different approaches (North, 2008; Dewhurst, 2010; Hytten & Bettez, 2011). Kathy Hytten and Silvia Bettez (2011) examine the different ways that literature calls upon social justice education: philosophically or conceptually; practically; ethnographically or narratively; theoretically; or in a democratically grounded way. The authors describe these different descriptions as strands of social justice, each

with their own unique strengths and weaknesses (Hyttten & Bettez, 2011). This helps describe the multiple orientations that social justice education can be described through literature, highlighting the fact that it is multifaceted and unique in each context. Hongyu Wang (2013) outlines two approaches to social justice education, critical theory and post-structural theory, calling on a nonviolent approach to these theoretical lenses with the use of wisdom traditions from Taoist and other spiritual traditions. This nuanced approach to social justice education acknowledges a need for a subtle shift in focus, where non-violent and relational dynamics, as opposed to binary and dualistic *othering*, build a community that is less self-defensive (Wang, 2013, p. 493). Wang (2013) describes this as “differing *for* one another rather than *from* one another” to build a strong community through social justice education (p. 499). This is opposed to calling out the evil and developing a binary between *us* and *them* that most often comes to the forefront with social justice topics (Wang, 2013, p. 499).

Aside from how social justice education is defined as an umbrella term, there are a number of different discourses that are geared toward social justice but can be seen as their own discourse all together. These include Black Feminism, Feminism, Queer Pedagogy, and more. While there are a wide range of texts on these topics, a few stood out in relation to specifically discussing influences on social justice education. Adrienne D. Dixson and Jamila D. Smith (2010) discuss how Black Feminist pedagogy has influenced social justice over time, considering how the pedagogies that support Black Feminism can support students of colour in social justice education. With this, Dixson and Smith (2010) argue that both teachers and students can be active participants who share their knowledge in social justice education, co-producing knowledge. Similarly, Lisa W. Loutzenheiser (2010) discusses the influences of Queer pedagogy on social justice education, relating to how students who are LGBTQ see

education from their vantage point. Loutzenheiser (2010) provides the argument that pedagogies must consider inclusion of LGBTQ students and must be altered with “critical analyses of the roles of gender, race, and/or sexuality” as opposed to just adding in lessons of queer pedagogy sporadically (p. 130).

Now that we have discussed the difficulty in defining social justice education, as well as influences on social justice pedagogies, it would be helpful to offer some kind of definition as it is seen in literature. In most cases, social justice pedagogy is closely linked with critical theory and Freire’s pedagogy. In Freire’s pedagogy there are specific qualities that support groups of people who are or have been oppressed (Gadotti, 2017). One specific component of his pedagogy that Moacir Gadotti (2017) discusses is the “construction of a democratic society with social justice” (p. 20). This construction requires a critical understanding and examination of the world around oneself, a lens that leans toward social justice. Social justice education can be understood as enabling

people to develop the critical analytic tools necessary to understand oppression and their own socialization within oppressive systems, and to develop a sense of agency and capacity to interrupt and change oppressive patterns and behaviors in themselves and in the institutions and communities of which they are a part

(Adams, Bell, & Griffin, 2007, p. 2, as cited in Dixson and Smith, 2010, p. 105)

Social justice education, then, is pedagogy that supports and provides students with critical analysis tools, allowing agency to develop and action to be taken to disrupt systems of oppression or injustice that occur in their daily lives.

Arts Education: A Way Forward

Ontario's art program is built on four strands; drama, dance, music, and visual arts. Arts education is an essential component of holistic education of students, providing "intellectual, social, physical, and emotional growth and well-being" to students (Ministry of Education, 2009, p. 3). In the Ontario arts curriculum, students engage in making art, analyzing and appreciating all forms of art, and integrating arts learning into other areas of study (Ministry of Education, 2009, p. 5-6). Arts education has always been a critical part of society and to this day can be seen as an important component of such. Therese Quinn (2010) regards how art education has served a number of different purposes, whether it is public good, a form of cultural history, or a means of political action the author argues, "artistry is a human birthright" (p. 223-224). However, the arts in Ontario education are largely focused on discipline-based arts education, which concentrates on skills or aesthetics rather than critical thinking or reflection.

While discipline-based arts education offers students the opportunity to learn a specific set of skills that are required to create particular art forms, art education has more merit to it than simply teaching students aesthetic, discipline-based skills. Education in the arts allows students to become more imaginative, wide-awake, and aware, driving critical thought processes that foster social justice (Quinn, 2010, p. 226). The use of art pedagogy can inculcate a critical mind that considers social justice issues, simply through the medium of the arts. Considering the use of art education as more than just skill-based education allows for both aesthetic understanding of art while instilling a critical and reactive response to problems and issues. Moving forward, this review will consider literature that offers art as a catalyst for social justice education and critical thinking.

Merging Art Education and Social Justice Education

Not only is learning in the arts valuable, but also experiences in the arts can provide a conduit for learning in other areas, such as social justice learning (Glowacki-Dudka & Griswold, 2016, p. 111). The question then becomes how can you merge these two disciplines in a way that adheres to the principles of social justice education, while providing a valuable arts learning experience for participants. Part of this includes analyzing and understanding both the materials and process so that they align with both discourses appropriately. Social justice education and arts education can be merged into social justice art education, which is defined as work that “shares a commitment to create art that draws attention to, mobilizes action towards, or attempts to intervene in systems of inequality or injustice” (Dewhurst, 2010, p. 7). This specific way of engaging in social justice through art is valuable since it has the potential to align with learning expectations in the arts and expectations of equity in schools. The malleability of social justice education allows for more access to conversations and engagement; however, it is important to understand how this specific sub-discourse is described or understood as well.

Marit Dewhurst (2011) uses three lenses to identify and discuss what happens when social justice education and art education fuse. First, Dewhurst (2011) draws attention to the intentions of the art to understand how and where the work is located (p. 369). Second, the process of art making is considered to evaluate the critical nature of the work (Dewhurst, 2011, p. 370). This lens is particularly useful for the function of art education because it allows the individual assessing the work to understand the process in which the art is made (Dewhurst, 2011, p. 370). Dewhurst (2011) identifies the importance of this lens because it involves asking oneself critical questions, and through this process the artist will make intentional decisions for their art that support social justice goals (p. 371). Finally, the last lens that Dewhurst (2011) identifies for use in understanding social justice art education is context. With this lens, the

social location of the individual is considered to understand how their position influences the art that is created (Dewhurst, 2011, p. 373). These lenses described by Dewhurst (2011) allow educators to understand and engage in social justice art that will both benefit students and have a robust impact on the world around them (p. 377).

In another article, Dewhurst (2010) discusses the defining features of social justice art education by illustrating three dimensions of social justice art education that contribute to pedagogy. The first dimension, connection, relates to how the artist connects their art with their own personal life (Dewhurst, 2010, p. 8). This relates directly to Dewhurst's (2011) discussion on the importance of location of artwork, proposing that the artist needs to create based on his or her own life experience in order for a social justice lens to be possible. The second dimension Dewhurst (2010) proposes entails questioning or critically examining the topic of the social justice art (p. 8-9). This defining feature is integral to social justice art education since critical examination is a significant component of any social justice work as seen in most literature explored on the topic (Dewhurst, 2010). The third and final dimension as discussed by Dewhurst (2010) is translating, where the artist considers what techniques and materials were used for the pursuance of their art goals (p. 9). This dimension is important because the choices artists make here can reflect their overall goal of social justice (Dewhurst, 2010). Dewhurst's (2010) contributions toward defining social justice art education aid in understanding the process of such, which can be extremely helpful for planning curricula or examining if specific pedagogy falls in line with the aims of social justice art education.

Aside from understanding how this kind of work is defined specifically as social justice art, it is also important to point out that social justice art education is described through other terms in relevant literature. Some literature points towards other supplementary pedagogies that

support social justice art education, such as Paul Duncum's (2011) position on engaging public space for social justice art. Duncum (2011) suggests that using public space is an effective way for art education pedagogies to be used since controversial pedagogies are more suited to public spaces as opposed to the cozy four walls of a classroom (p. 351). At an academic level, this is considered activist art, but at an elementary level these public spaces can be understood as community art or environmental art (Duncum, 2011). Art pedagogies best suited to engaging public spaces are visual arts; however, other forms of art, such as dramatic arts, could be explored to engage public space to the same degree (Duncum, 2011, p. 360). Like Duncum (2011), Lisa Hochtritt, Willa Ahlschwede, Bonnie Halsey-Dutton, Laura Mychal Fiesel, Liz Chevalier, Taylor Miller, and Chelsea Farrar (2018) consider how public pedagogy can be used in social justice arts education. Public pedagogy, as defined by Hochtritt et al. (2018) is defined as "forms, processes, and site[s] of education and learning occurring beyond or outside of formal schooling" (p. 288). Public pedagogy is described in literature as a way for students to think about and combat forms of oppression outside of the formal education system, making it more applicable to real life situations. The term public pedagogy is used to support social justice art education by increasing the spaces, and therefore the recognition, in which these pedagogies take place.

Another lens used to discuss social justice arts education is described as educating for cultural citizenship (Kuttner, 2015). Educating for cultural citizenship is a key phrase found in a significant amount of literature on social justice education; however, Paul Kuttner (2025) aligns this phrase with arts education, making it even more significant for the purposes of this review. Falling in line with Freire's contributions of social justice "for the construction of a democratic society" (Gadotti, 2017, p. 19), pedagogies that support cultural citizenship education through

arts education have a social justice frame that is important when reviewing relevant work in the field of social justice arts education. Kuttner (2015) suggests that arts education is about much more than just aesthetic and skill, but can be seen as a way of creating cultural citizens, therefore increasing the social justice component inherent to some arts education. This supports the idea that cultural citizenship education is a type of social justice education, and can be pursued in a natural way through arts curriculum.

Cultural and democratic citizenship go hand in hand, and are seen as links within literature surrounding arts education and social justice. Educating for democracy (Westheimer and Kahne, 2004), another term relevant to social justice arts education, involves pedagogy that supports social justice at numerous levels of education, ending with a justice oriented citizen who is able to think critically about the world they are engaging with (p. 239). Education for democratic citizenship, while very similar, makes concrete connections to art education in relevant literature. Richard Siegesmund (2013) reinforces the idea that art education should be a development of consciousness, a wide-awakeness of democracy (p. 307). Maxine Greene (2001) relates art education with play, where students can “spin visions of worlds as worlds otherwise might be” (Greene, 2001, as cited in Siegesmund, 2013, p. 303). Through the act of spinning worlds, students can use art education to imagine a more just society. If imagined, it can be acted upon or even built, which is key to social justice education.

In addition to cultural citizenship, other key phrases such as sociopolitical consciousness are found in literature that supports social justice arts education. Bic Ngo, Cynthia Lewis, and Betsy Maloney Leaf (2017) discuss community-based arts education that supports sociopolitical consciousness, which engages students in changing the current systems of oppression through art such as theatre, spoken word, and more (p. 365). Like education for social justice, education that

intends to increase sociopolitical consciousness has a direct link to transforming educational and social disparities through pedagogy that is liberating, transformative, and empowering.

Art education is the perfect conduit for social justice education because through art we can begin to see the impossible. One can transform new worlds and see new beginnings; one can “disrupt the walls that obscure...the spheres of freedom” (Greene, 1988, p. 133, as cited in Quinn, 2010, p. 223). Quinn (2010) emphasizes how art allows one to become imaginative, wide-awake, and aware, which is an essential component of critical thinking, a front seat driver to social justice (p. 226). Art not only acts as a conduit for social justice education, but art, in the act of viewing or creating, stimulates cognitive development and transformation (Quinn, 2010, p. 225).

Now that prominent texts have been discussed to help define and provide context for understanding both social justice education and social justice arts education, the rest of this review is concerned with literature that discusses current arts literature that support social justice. More directly, the rest of the review is concerned with contemporary social justice arts education pedagogies or praxis as they relate to the different strands of the Ontario curriculum.

Dramatic Arts and Social Justice

Theatre is necessarily political, as is social justice work (Boal, 1985, p. x). With the power to educate, inform, organize, and influence participants, theatre can be understood as serving the purpose of correcting the faults of nature around oneself (Boal, 1985, p. 9). As such, theatre provides a significant opportunity for participants to call to action or mobilize for change. In searching for literature in the area of dramatic arts and social justice, that which most prominently recurred was the work of Augusto Boal (1985). Boal (1985) illustrates how theatre has transformed through history, and has more recently been taken over by the oppressed (or the

masses) (p. 119). Now that the oppressed have a hand in theatre, it can be used as a tool to engage participants in critical thinking where a social justice frame can be used. Theatre of the Oppressed is a way for participants to consider the social context around them and explore possible changes. Through my search, I have found that Boal's (1985) Theatre of the Oppressed method (which relates to Freire's Pedagogy of the Oppressed) has been used in numerous different ways, ranging from elementary students to post-secondary aged students.

In an article that investigates the connection between citizenship, art, and education, Jose Eduardo Silva and Isabel Menezes (2016) discuss Theatre of the Oppressed as a way to build an understanding of the world around oneself through actions of their own body, increasing empowerment, autonomy, and active citizenship (p. 40). One of the main goals of Boal's method is for participants to engage in potential real life situations to explore concrete examples of outcomes, therefore increasing understanding and the ability to act on such situations should they encounter with them in day to day life. Silva and Menezes (2016) argue for the merging of social justice and arts education in non-formal settings through the practice of Theatre of the Oppressed and the methods derived by Boal (1985).

Similarly, Johnny Saldana (2010) illustrates the use of Theatre of The Oppressed as a method of exploring issues among children; however, Saldana (2010) suggests the use of these methods in elementary settings to allow for "seeds of social consciousness and strategies for dealing with oppression" to be planted in the classroom (p. 62). With the techniques of Boal's (1985) method, Saldana (2010) demonstrates how theatre can be used for social justice, in order to allow students to understand oppressions in their everyday life and develop as socially conscious and empathetic citizens.

With some similarities, Andrea Dishy and Karina Naumer (2010) illustrate how Theatre of the Oppressed can be used in conjunction with other dramatic methods, such as puppet theatre, to engage young students in social justice issues that yield appropriate for their age group. Dishy and Naumer (2010) position Theatre of the Oppressed in classrooms where children are aged three to seven, exploring how this method could be used to foster critical thinking at an elementary level. Dishy and Naumer (2010) emphasize some of the positive aspects of teaching social justice arts education at such a young age, including the fact that interactions with systems of oppression at a young age are a step towards being an assertive adult who is able to stand up to their own oppression in the future (p. 43).

Also within mainstream elementary education, Elinor Vettraino (2010) highlights the use of Theatre of the Oppressed as a way for the education system to create more responsive learners able to contribute to society, be responsible active citizens, and confident as individuals (p. 65). Vettraino (2010) hones in specifically on the Image Theatre method to provide students with the opportunity to discuss liberation and oppression in a concrete way within their classroom. As an exploratory method, Vettraino (2010) relies on Image Theatre to address complex concepts such as behaviour intervention, systems of oppression, and liberation. By describing how non-traditional anti-oppressive methods such as Image Theatre can be used inside structures of education that are at times oppressive, Vettraino (2010) argues that Theatre of the Oppressed should be a part of elementary education (p. 77-78).

In comparison to others, Carol Rozansky and Caroline Santos (2009) explore using Boal's (1985) Theatre of the Oppressed method to increase critical literacy in elementary students. Rozansky and Santos (2009) explore how image theatre is a way for young students to understand oppression and gain critical literacy skills, while critically responding to both texts

and scenarios where oppression is present. Through the use of image theatre, Rozansky and Santos (2009) illustrate the possibility for Theatre of the Oppressed methods to be used specifically for critical literacy, which directly supports aims of social justice education. Through image theatre, Rozansky and Santos (2009) suggest that students are given space to explore possible outcomes to real-life scenarios, giving them the tools to react to such scenarios in real life.

While increasing the age of students, Sooz Stahl (2018) addresses the use of Theatre of the Oppressed with high school students in order to confront social justice issues at school in a practical way. As a way for students to engage with social justice education while meeting standards of the arts curriculum, Stahl (2018) discusses that Theatre of the Oppressed allows students to “share...vulnerability, to empathize with others who are different, and to practice confronting oppression in our daily lives” (p. 372-373). Stahl (2018) demonstrates the flexibility that this method provides while still addressing systems of oppression and other social justice issues in a constructive and practical way.

Quite unlike the former texts, Hannah Fox and Abigail Leeder (2018) examine the use of Theatre of the Oppressed in post-secondary education, and in conjunction with other theatre methods. Fox and Leeder (2018) discuss Theatre of the Oppressed methods alongside autobiographical theatre and playback theatre, arguing that the combination allows for a liberated and inclusive environment which ultimately inspires allyship (p. 110). The authors demonstrate how the use of these methods allows for both the audience and actors to be part of the social justice movement, “creating a community of activists and allies utilizing theatre as a tool for liberation” (Fox and Leeder, 2018, p. 110). At an academic level, Fox and Leeder (2018)

demonstrate how the use of Theatre of the Oppressed and other methods can allow for the exploration of liberation, systems of oppression, and social justice issues overall.

Visual Arts and Social Justice

Although dramatic arts prove useful for developing conversations of social justice and critical thinking skills in our students, other mediums provide similar opportunities in the classroom. Not surprisingly, teachers are either strongly interested in the arts or veer away from them. Whatever the level interest of the teacher may be, visual arts are a powerful medium for students to explore concepts of social justice in relation to their own identity through a creative response to a social issue. Mark Graham (2009) proposes “The study and making of art can be a powerful way to engender empathy, compassion, and intercultural dialogue, and engage students and teachers in critical thinking about cultural assumptions and diversity” (p. 155). In my exploration, some texts examine specific social justice issues related to mediums of art, while others address a widespread array of social justice issues in relation to one or more medium for exploration.

When searching for literature that discussed the use of visual arts to explore concepts of social justice, mediums such as photography, print, murals, and graphic making are all discussed. Cass Fey, Ryan Shin, Shana Cinquemani, and Catherine Marino (2010) claim the use of photography as useful for addressing racism in their classrooms. In learning about social research photographers, Fey et al (2010) identify how photography is “a powerful medium with which to explore social issues and concerns through the intersection of artistic form and concept” (p. 51) The authors discuss three activities in which students can explore racism through photography, each allowing students to “develop abilities to carefully analyze and

interpret photographs, develop and improve their powers of observation, increase vocabulary needed to respond and sharpen their visual and critical thinking skills” (Fey et al., 2010, p. 51).

Other examples of exploring social justice through photography have been discussed in conjunction with other art forms. In a study with high school students, Graham (2009) acknowledges the use of photography to address issues of multiculturalism and storytelling. Graham (2009) posits that art, specifically photography and sketching in this case, “has tremendous potential to develop students’ intercultural competence and experience, and artists can reveal the assumptions, values, and beliefs of a culture in a way that makes them poignant and comprehensible” (p. 155). In a project that used photography and painting to explore the relationships of immigration and diversity in the classroom, Graham (2009) notes the importance of understanding the skills of photography and painting technically before being able to critically think about social justice issues (p. 157). This project demonstrated how using art to explore social justice allows students to have a rich experience, cultivating a disposition, which permitted students to “examine topics of culture, diversity, and social justice in a traditional classroom” (Graham, 2009, p. 160).

Other examples of visual arts and social justice include painting and mural making. Katherine Fobear (2016) illustrates the use of community murals as a way for groups to come together, sharing about both themselves and their community (p. 52). In an article describing a project called Painted Stories, Fobear (2016) narrates how community murals created empathy and mobilization, addressing LGBTQ refugees in Vancouver (p. 54). Although this example takes place in a non-traditional learning space, the author argues how the use of mural painting was something that worked to draw attention, mobilize participants or marginalized people, and “intervene in systems of inequality by providing critical counter-narratives” (Fobear, 2016, p.

59). In discussing the value of the arts as a conduit for social justice learning, Fobear (2016) references bell hooks (2000) to say that art allows for a transgression across boundaries that are imposed “making people reflect and pushing our understandings of ourselves beyond racial, sexual, gender, and class norms and boundaries” (hooks, 2000, as cited in Fobear, 2016, p. 53). This example of social justice art demonstrates how art allows participants to “articulate the inarticulable” to develop empathy and understanding around social justice issues, especially within a community (Fobear, 2016, p. 52).

In another more recent example of social justice art that engage the community, Pamela Harris Lawton (2019) inquires into how art education and curriculum could be reimaged in response to community and social engagement, questioning the role of social practice art within art education programs (p. 204). In this article, the examples Lawton (2019) provides are geared toward social action “with art as the medium for learning” (p. 204). As previously noted, art has been seen as a considerably strong medium for social justice learning since it creates a space where participants can begin to interrogate the structures of power and oppression that surround them (Fobear, 2016, p. 53). In her project, Lawton (2016) describes both community participants and students who are engaging in art work as a collaborative effort, addressing lived experiences, social, moral, and cultural concerns as well as political ones (p. 210). This project not only addressed themes of social justice but also other democratic concepts such as civic responsibility, which we have seen as a tenant of social justice education (Lawton, 2016, p. 215). Lawton (2016) provides an example of reconsidering how curriculum can be used to address social justice issues ensuring that teachers are able to holistically integrate topics of art learning and social justice learning in order for students experiences to be transformative and engaging.

Lawton's (2016) intersectional ideology used mediums of printmaking, woodcutting, and painting to address issues of social justice.

Along with a variety of mediums used, some authors discuss social justice art with an ideological push that differentiates it from others. Kim Hyungsook (2014) highlights how socially engaged art can foster character education. Hyungsook (2014) gives an example of character education in Korea; however, this applies to many school boards in Ontario since character education is a staple for a number of boards. The author highlights how art practice can be used to discuss the topic of refugees in Korea, addressing how art can be used as a tool to promote social justice (Hyungsook, 2014, p. 57). The program of study included participatory activities where participants were encouraged to draw and create in order to enhance ones understanding of others around them, specifically in Korea (Hyungsook, 2014, p. 59). Hyungsook (2014) illustrates how art that promotes character education not only increases understanding of others, but also works to build a democratic and global citizen mindset (p. 58). The author establishes that art is a helpful vehicle to explore the world around oneself, and plays a "critical role in human culture in creating an understanding of these manifold conditions of existence" (Hyungsook, 2014, p. 64). This article suggests that multiple ideologies can be incorporated into social justice art to question the "social condition of inequality...[and act] as a powerful tool for creating material practices for other ways of being" (Hyungsook, 2014, p. 66). Hyungsook's (2014) example helps to explain how art can be used to promote social justice alongside other ideologies.

Not only do the arts increase social justice awareness from an introspective standpoint, engaging in the arts increases students' understanding of the world around them. In a study that addresses the use of arts with university students, Vedant Nanackchand and Kim Berman (2012)

address how visual graphics can have a positive impact on issues of human rights, social justice, democracy, and overall public good. The authors were trying to address social responsiveness through an action research study by increasing critical personal and civic awareness in students through art practice (Nanackchand and Berman, 2012, p. 468). In this article, Nanackchand and Berman (2012) combine aesthetic art education with critical thinking skills. In this study students address the South African Bill of Rights by responding to a human rights issue through visual graphics (Nanackchand and Berman, 2012, p. 471). Here, visual graphic creation is used as an educational intervention that allows students to have increased awareness for social justice issues such as human rights (Nanackchand and Berman, 2012, p. 476). Along with increased awareness among individual students, Nanackchand and Berman (2012) point out that using visual graphics allows for students to display their artwork, in turn allowing them the “potential to influence public opinion” (p. 473). Although Nanackchand and Berman (2012) give an example of using the arts to address issues of social justice at a university level, a similar reactive process can easily be mimicked at a younger grade level to achieve similar results.

Movement Arts and Social Justice

Another influential medium for social justice art education is movement arts. In the Ontario curriculum, this is found in the dance strand in grades 1-8. Social justice is linked to the Ontario curriculum through the overall expectations of Reflecting, Responding, and Analysing, and Exploring Forms and Cultural Contexts (Ministry of Education, 2009, p. 66). However, dance in the curriculum, unlike the other strands, can often be mulled over or taught void of context. Despite the curriculum’s focus on formal technique (Ministry of Education, 2009, p. 14), dance is more than just a performing art and should be viewed as “a cultural text for understanding the social world” (Cruz Banks, 2010, p. 18).

In the search for literature on dance education and social justice resources were more limited than what was found in other mediums. Literature in this area involved discussions on how dance education can be used to teach critical thinking, democratic education, climate justice, and social justice (MacPherson, 2018; Ward, 2013; Catalano and Leonard, 2016; Cleland Donnelly and Millar, 2019; Cruz Banks, 2010). What these articles have in common is a dialogue that centers cultural and historical context in the teaching of dance so that students can engage in social justice learning.

Sheila A. Ward (2013) begins our exploration of movement arts and social justice with her article discussing African dance in elementary settings. Ward (2013) discusses dance education as a way for students to broaden their worldview kinesthetically, “exploring the values system of diverse cultures, dispelling myths, circulating historical accuracies, and establishing traditional cultural connections” (p. 31). By this definition, it is clear that dance education opens the floor for students to engage in socially just topics. Ward (2013) notes both the challenges and benefits of teaching dance education in K-12 settings, specifically African dance. In terms of benefits, Ward (2013) highlights the fact that dance taught with adequate cultural context can provide the opportunity to discuss topics of race, beauty, racism, and power, in positive ways with young students (p. 31). However, Ward (2013) makes a clear distinction that social justice and social action come into play not when the teacher brings this kind of dance education into the classroom, but rather when the teacher asks students to question and reflect upon how “African-based dance is valued and recognized among other dance forms” (p. 32). Through teaching African dance, and perhaps we can assume other dance traditions, the teacher can help students view dance “in a manner that challenges social and racial inequalities by ‘questioning

the structural inequality, the racism, and the injustice that exist in society” (Ladson-Billings, 2006, p. 128, as cited in Ward, 2013, p. 33).

In another article discussing African dance, Ojeya Cruz Banks (2010) considers how dance pedagogy supports critical postcolonial thought to support students in greater world perspectives (p. 18). Cruz Banks (2010) offers a unique contribution to the overall dialogue by discussing a project that attempts to move away from tokenistic multicultural education and toward critical pedagogy (p. 18). Cruz Banks (2010) argues that multicultural education is often presented in the classroom devoid of context, which means it can lend itself toward stereotypes “rather than...constructing meaningful connections to the world outside of the classroom” (Derman Sparks, 1993, as cited in Cruz Banks, 2010, p. 19). However, once a critical framework is applied, Cruz Banks (2010) argues that dance education can involve questioning school knowledge rather than romanticizing cultural practices through additive education (p. 19). Cruz Banks (2010) points out that since dance education often favours Western styles and training, critical pedagogy helps to move the emphasis away from technique and locate it in cultural and social contexts, such as the importance of dance for marking social occasions (p. 20). African dance specifically can apply critical pedagogy to represent struggles of race, gender, sex, and act as a way for students to critique society (Cruz Banks, 2010, p. 20). As a tool for social justice education, Cruz Banks (2010) identifies how dance can “mobilize social action by reclaiming youth education...supplementing it with alternative sources of cultural knowledge” than that of western dance education (p. 30).

In a discussion that addresses dance as a vehicle for democracy, Theresa Catalano and Alison E. Leonard (2016) explore how dance can be used in the curriculum as a tool for democratic deliberation (p. 63). Catalano and Leonard (2016) start by making the point that

relevant literature has explored visual art as a tool for democratic deliberation; however, despite their relevance, movement arts have been left out of the conversation (p. 63). The authors begin by evaluating the use of dance for teaching democratic education, explaining how dance serves as a form of both knowledge and inquiry for students (Catalano and Leonard, 2016, p. 64). Through this inquiry and knowledge based practice, dance embodies democratic ideals by offering a level playing field where “diverse groups of people can and do meet to share common experiences” (Daly, 2002, p. 9, as cited in Catalano and Leonard, 2016, p. 66). A shared common experience allows students to “foster interdependence through participation and a concern for the common good” (Daly, 2002, p. 9, as cited in Catalano and Leonard, 2016, p. 66). According to Catalano and Leonard (2016), dance can be seen as a tool for social justice since it addresses four key democratic concepts: participation and access for all students, allowing for human connection and interdependence, the chance for all voices to be heard, and helping students to develop empathy and value diversity (p. 68-71). The last key component that Catalano and Leonard (2016) explain is how dance can address injustices through the possibility for students to bring forward their own experiences or potential experiences to address these issues on their own terms through a dance relationship (p. 76).

Finally, in Tehmekah MacPherson’s article addressing the significance of Hip Hop dance, MacPherson (2018) discusses how Hip Hop education has transformed over the years for the worse, now seen for its wow factor rather than its origins in “cultural history and expressive energy containing helpful life lessons” (p. 136). MacPherson (2018) discusses her circle pedagogy for teaching Hip Hop classes that intends to bring the historical and cultural context back to Hip Hop (p. 136). The pedagogy MacPherson (2018) uses to teach Hip Hop has four principles that highlight the socially responsible features of the genre (p. 138). These are “love

energy, elemental synergy, past-to-present connection, and the individual as part of the whole” (MacPherson, 2018, p. 138). Since social justice is and always has been a priority of Hip Hop (MacPherson, 2018, p. 138), it becomes a perfect conduit for social justice learning. MacPherson (2018) reflects on the ways Hip Hop can be used within lessons to say that Hip Hop is a message in motion (p. 138), and a genre that not only incorporates movement but music as well. This brings us to our final medium for social justice education: music.

Music Arts and Social Justice

The final section of this review will address some relevant literature on how music can be used as a social justice tool in the classroom. Music, of course, is a key component of the Ontario Arts curriculum in grades 1-8. Music can be taught through skills-based objectives (see Overall Expectation 1), or critical thinking-based objectives (see Overall Objectives 2 and 3), the latter of which opens the door to social justice topics. Through my research, themes such as decolonization, race, and culture appeared as some of the topics that educators are tackling through the music curriculum. Juliet Hess (2015; 2018; 2019), a prominent music scholar, points to the ways in which music education can address topics of social justice in through critical thinking. Other scholars examine the music curriculum to consider how it might reinforce messages of oppression and privilege (Palmer, 2018), propose ideas of multiculturalism might be infused (Dobrota, 2015), or how music could be a vein of critical education (Rashid, 2016).

In their article discussing multicultural music education, Snjezana Dobrota (2015) illustrates how multicultural education is facet of social justice education, emerging out of the civil rights movement and “addressing cultural perspectives, biases, and stereotypes” (p. 211). Dobrota (2015) explains how music education can also be multicultural by teaching culture-specific qualities of different music practices (Walker, 1990, p. 81, as cited in Dobrota, 2015, p.

212). Since the Ontario public school system is dominated by Western ideals, and the music program is no different than others, it is more important than ever to include world music education for students (Dobrota, 2015, p. 213). Through multicultural music education students are able to alter their perceptions on other cultures and gain an understanding of other cultures before making preconceived judgments (Anderson, 1983, as cited in Dobrota, 2015, p. 214). With multicultural education embedded within the music curriculum, Dobrota (2015) argues that students are able to “decrease negative stereotyping based on gender, race, religion, politics, age, ethnicity, and...ability” (p. 215), increasing their understanding of social justice issues in their world.

Oppression and privilege are two other social justice topics that can be addressed through music education. In a literature review that addresses social justice music education, Elizabeth S. Palmer (2018) highlights how music education can be affected by issues of social justice such as lack of funding or resources, disenfranchisement, high teacher turnover, and more (p. 23). As such, music education provides an appropriate platform to discuss issues of social justice. However, Palmer (2018) argues that traditionally music education focuses on performance rather than social and contextual learning (p. 24). Palmer (2018) pushes for critical pedagogy within music education so that teachers can play the role of facilitator while students contribute to their own learning experiences in a democratic way (p. 24). Since music education faces its own social justice barriers, Palmer (2018) argues that it is important to address the issues of privilege and oppression that come with it. Palmer (2018) identifies privilege in music education through the content taught in classrooms, which more often than not is Westernized (p. 25). In terms of oppression, Palmer (2018) explains how voices of minority group individuals are not often heard through music education and therefore those folks do not participate (p. 25). However, since these

realities are evident in classrooms, the music curriculum offers a unique place for social justice education to occur.

In discussing which musical traditions take the forefront in music education, Kamau Rashid (2016) describes how Hip Hop is used in music education in the city of Chicago. Rashid (2016) argues that Hip Hop is a “potent resource in the conceptualization of social justice pedagogy” (p. 341). The practice of Hip Hop, including graffiti, rap, MCing, beat boxing, as well as dance, can be explored as a tool for critical social justice work (Rashid, 2016, p. 341). Rashid (2016) explores how Hip Hop pedagogy can be used as resistance pedagogy to tackle issues of racism, white supremacy, and neoliberalism (p. 342). While this article focuses its attention in the city of Chicago, these practices can be applied globally in music education classrooms. Rashid (2016) points to how music classrooms are not neutral spaces (p. 342), and this is something that should be addressed through education. In spaces of music education, since they are not neutral, teachers can use Hip Hop as a direct link to social justice topics (Rashid, 2016, p. 344). Since Hip Hop has a long history of Black liberation and social criticism, Hip Hop can be a platform for social critique (Rashid, 2016, p. 334). While these conclusions are positive, the ultimate question is how? Rashid (2016) discusses ways that Hip Hop can be used as pedagogy within the music classroom as a textual discourse, which will provide “counternarratives to...intolerance, racism, imperialism, and class subordination” (p. 348). These counternarratives occur through students examining and cultivating their own Hip Hop lyrics. Through music assignments like this, Rashid (2016) argues that “students [can]...see the salience of social criticism inherent in many...[Hip Hop] works, even works that [do] not have an explicitly political orientation (p. 356). Overall, Hip Hop music has an inherent orientation to

social justice, which can “illuminate social issues, as well as the varied ways that people mobilize to positively shape the world” (Rashid, 2016, p. 358).

On the topic of counternarratives, Juliet Hess (2019) discusses the concept of counterstorytelling as a way for social justice to take place in the music classroom. Hess (2019) claims that the ideals of grit and resilience taught in elementary schools can be critiqued and reframed in different ways. Because the current framework for understanding grit and resilience locates the problems within the child, Hess (2019) argues that students need to be given political agency to question the systems that support the need for students to be resilient in the first place (p. 489). While resilience education focuses on vulnerability in students, Hess (2019) proposes we look to examine the root causes of students’ problems through resistance rather than resilience. Interestingly enough, Hess (2019) suggests that music is a possible site for this potential shift in thinking may happen (p. 489). Counterstorytelling through songwriting is a strength-based pedagogy where students can share their stories from a perspective where they are seen as “fundamentally powerful and willing to name oppressive forces that affect them” (p. 496). This perspective lies in opposition to the deficit-based approach that grit and resilience education supports (Hess, 2019, p. 495). Hess (2019) argues that music can provide a “counternarrative to the dominant discourse” (p. 499) and identifies Hip Hop as a potential way for students to engage in this kind of learning.

Hess has a number of other articles relevant to the discussion of social justice music education, including another article of hers, which discusses music education as a way to decolonize. In this article, Hess (2015) argues that the current curriculum can be seen as a colonizer that reinforces dominant power relations in schools (p. 337). The idea of curriculum as colonizer argues that what is taught becomes dominant knowledge, and since Western practices

are often at the forefront of music education, this kind of knowledge becomes more important than other musical knowledge (Hess, 2015, p. 336). However, music has the opportunity to shift the narrative in the curriculum and allow for teachers to decolonize the knowledge shared in the classroom (Hess, 2015). Hess (2015) provides a number of different models for decolonizing the curriculum, two of which she pokes holes in. These models are based on Chandra Talpade Mohanty's curricular models and adjusted for the music classroom. First, Hess (2015) suggests a shift through seeing the musician as a tourist, where students can dip in and out of different cultural experiences at their leisure (Hess, 2015, p. 339). Hess (2015) identifies this model as problematic since it is an "add world music and stir" approach (Morton, 1994, as cited in Hess, 2015, p. 339). The next approach to decolonizing music education is the musician as explorer approach, where students value the musical perspectives of other cultures but do not disrupt the narrative (Hess, 2015, p. 340). Finally, the last model that Hess (2015) discusses is what she refers to as a new curriculum, a comparative music model (p. 341). In this model, Hess (2015) suggests that students would see the "interconnectedness between the musics [of multiple cultures] and the contexts of the musics. It is also attentive to power relations" (p. 341). This version of music pedagogy would bring together intersectional identities such as race, gender, and ability, to "focus on the way that these fluid categories intersect with and inform each other" (Hess, 2015, p. 341).

In the next article by Hess that proves relevant to this discussion, Hess (2018) examines whiteness in music education and how it can be addressed from a social justice perspective. In her article, Hess (2018) follows music educators who "challenge dominant paradigms in order to consider what an equitable music education might be" (p. 129). Hess (2018) used her case study to examine the different approaches to teaching multicultural music education, and found that

one of the most important components is to include sociocultural and sociohistorical context with learning (p. 134). This allows for teachers to address social justice issues such as race, oppression, and privilege in a tangible way, considering multiple musical viewpoints and encouraging open-mindedness in students (Hess, 2018, p. 140). However, Hess (2018) does outline some potential stumbling blocks for teachers to be aware of, which include additive programming, assuming cultural knowledge based on cultural heritage, and reinscribing dominant culture, therefor reinscribing power roles (Hess, 2018, p.136-137). Ultimately, Hess (2018) argues that music education has the opportunity challenge dominant paradigms and address issues of social justice.

Conclusion

The term social justice is a wide umbrella encompassing issues of multiculturalism, race, equity, gender, democratic citizenship, and more. These topics are addressed in schools in a many different ways, sometimes directly and others indirectly. It is clear that school boards intend for social justice topics to surface through the curriculum, but how and where seems to leave teachers with a sense of unease. When considering the multitude of ways to teach social justice to students, especially of a younger age, most would argue that method of delivery for such content is important. As such, an inquiry into a particular method of delivery was relevant.

There is quite a large body of literature that supports the combination of social justice education and arts education. Most literature shows that this is because the arts act as an appropriate conduit for such content. In fact, social justice art education can be understood as its own discipline within literature, perhaps a sub-discipline of social justice education. This is because not only do the arts act as a conduit for exploring issues of social justice, but also allow for the learner to explore and expand their understanding through a number of different mediums

such as visual art, theatre, dance, and music. Topics considering social justice are multifaceted, and because of this, the approaches to teaching such must be multifaceted as well (North, 2008). Given this, the use of the arts as a vehicle for social justice learning is beneficial for a number of reasons. The arts have been shown to inspire provocation and open individuals up to new expansive and surprising ideas, increasing critical thinking and democratic citizenship (Quinn, 2010, p. 226). Art acts as a tool to enhance students' active citizenship and epistemological development (Silva and Menezes, 2016, p. 40), while also developing consciousness among individuals (Siegesmund, 2013, p. 307). Some articles in particular pointed out how art education is about much more than aesthetics, but rather it has a more important role to play through the development of cultural citizens (Kuttner, 2015, p. 74). Nevertheless, regardless of the medium through which social justice is taught, exploring social justice issues brings students awareness of themselves and their personal and global communities (Camarota, 2011).

Through an exploration of some literature on art and social justice education, it is clear that the two are directly correlated since art is "necessarily... multicultural, exploratory, and rooted in life experiences" (Quinn, 2010, p. 227). As such, numerous articles depict the use of the arts as a vehicle for social justice. Music, theatre, dance, and the visual arts are all expressive modes of understanding and the involvement in such is both political and personal. Art is considered a vital component of social justice education to some since it stimulates cognitive and creative development while awakening critical thought (Quinn, 2010, p. 224, 226). Direct links have been made to demonstrate the effectiveness of art in "developing critical responsiveness... about the discourse of human rights, democracy and social responsibility" (Nanackchand and Berman, 2012, p. 476). Since there is an overwhelming discussion on the benefits of art as a tool for social justice education, one must wonder where the resources are

that connect these topics to our curriculum. In doing research on some of the literature surrounding arts education and social justice, examples of pedagogy that support social justice arts education are abundant. Less abundant are resources for educators that give way to how this pedagogy can be used in an elementary education setting. Moving forward, this is an area for further development.

References

- Boal, A. (1985). *Theatre of the oppressed*. Theatre Communications Group.
- Cammarota, J. (2011). From Hopelessness to hope: Social justice pedagogy in urban education and youth development. *Urban Education, 46*(4), 828–844.
- Catalano, T., & Leonard, A. E. (2016). Moving people and minds: Dance as a vehicle of democratic education. *Education, Citizenship and Social Justice, 11*(1), 63–84.
- Cleland Donnelly, F. E., & Millar, V. F. (2019). Moving green, going green: An interdisciplinary creative dance experience. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance, 90*(8), 20–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2019.1637304>
- Cruz Banks, O. (2010). Critical postcolonial dance pedagogy: The relevance of West African dance education in the United States. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly, 41*(1), 18–34.
- Dewhurst, M. (2010). An inevitable question: Exploring the defining features of social justice art education. *Art Education, 63*(5), 6–13. Retrieved from <https://ezproxy.lakeheadu.ca/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eue&AN=505351956&site=eds-live&scope=site>
- Dewhurst, M. (2011). Where is the Action? Three Lenses to Analyze Social Justice Art Education. *Equity & Excellence in Education, 44*(3), 364–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10665684.2011.591261>
- Dishy, A., & Naumer, K. (2010). Puppet intervention in the early childhood classroom: Augusto Boal’s influences and beyond. In P. Duffy, & E. Vettraino (Eds.), *Youth and Theatre of the Oppressed* (17-44). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Dixson, A. D., and Smith, J. D. (2010). Jump at da Sun: Black Feminist Influences on Social Justice Pedagogy. In Thandeka K. Chapman and Nikola Hobbel (Eds.), *Social justice pedagogy across the curriculum: The practice of freedom* (pp. 104-120). Routledge.
- Dobrota, S. (2015). Social justice and music education: Toward a multicultural concept of music education. *Education Policy, Reforms, & School Leadership, 211-216*.
- Duncum, P. (2011). Engaging public space: Art education pedagogies for social justice. *Equity & Excellence in Education, 44*(3), 348–363. <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lakeheadu.ca/10.1080/10665684.2011.590400>
- Fey, C., Shin, R., Cinquemani, S., and Marino, C. (2010). Exploring racism through photography. *Art Education, 44-51*.

- Fobear, K. (2017). "This painting is nice, but I wish it were more political." Exploring the challenges and dilemmas of community art with LGBT refugees. *Women's Studies International Forum*, 62, 52-60. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wsif.2017.02.002>
- Fox, H., Leeder, A. (2018). Combining theatre of the oppressed, playback theatre, and autobiographical theatre for social action in higher education. *Johns Hopkins University Press*, 101-111. <https://doi.org/10.1353/tt.2018.0019>
- Gadotti, M. (2017). The global impact of Freire's pedagogy. In M. Q. Patton (Ed.), *Pedagogy of Evaluation*. New Directions for Evaluation, 155, 17-30.
- Glowacki-Dudka, M. & Griswold, W. (2016). Embodying authentic leadership through popular education at highlander research and education center: A qualitative case study. *Adult Learning*, 27(3), 105-112.
- Graham, M. (2009). The power of art in multicultural education: The international stories project. *Multicultural perspectives*, 11(3), 155-161. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15210960903116712>
- Hess, J. (2015). Decolonizing music education: Moving beyond tokenism. *International Journal of Music Education*, 33(3), 336-347.
- Hess, J. (2018). Troubling whiteness: Music education and the "messiness" of equity work. *International Journal of Music Education*, 36(2), 128-144.
- Hess, J. (2019). Moving beyond resilience education: Musical counterstorytelling. *Music Education Research*, 21(5), 488-502. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14613808.2019.1647153>
- Hochtritt, L., Ahlschwede, W., Halsey, D. B., Fiesel, L. M., Chevalier, L., Miller, T., & Farrar, C. (2018). Public pedagogy and social justice in arts education. *International Journal of Art & Design Education*, 37(2), 287-299.
- Hytten, K., & Bettez, S. C. (2011). Understanding education for social justice. *Educational Foundations*, Winter-Spring. 7-24. Retrieved from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ925898.pdf>
- Hyunsook, K. (2014). Socially engaged art practice and character education: Understanding others through visual art. *International Journal of Education through Art*, 10(1), 55-69. https://doi.org/10.1386/eta.10.1.55_1
- Kuttner, P. J. (2015). Educating for cultural citizenship: Reframing the goals of arts education. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 45(1), 69-92, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03626784.2014.980940>
- Lawton, H., P. (2019). At the crossroads of intersection ideologies: Community-based art education, community engagement, and social practice art. *Studies in Art Education: A Journal of Issues and Research*, 60(3), 203-218. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00393541.2019.1639486>

- Loutzenheiser, L. W. (2010). Can We Learn Queerly? Normativity and Social Justice Pedagogies. In Thandeka K. Chapman and Nikola Hobbel (Eds.), *Social justice pedagogy across the curriculum: The practice of freedom* (pp. 121-141). Routledge.
- MacPherson, T. (2018). Circle of love: A message from hip hop. *Journal of Dance Education*, 18(3), 136–139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15290824.2018.1486508>
- Ministry of Education. (2009). *The Ontario curriculum: Grades 1-8, The Arts*. Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Nanackchand, V., and Berman, K. (2012). Visual graphics for human rights, social justice, democracy, and the public good. *South African Journal of Education*, 32(4), 465-477.
- Ndimande, B. S. (2010). Critical Theory as Social Justice Pedagogy. In Thandeka K. Chapman and Nikola Hobbel (Eds.), *Social justice pedagogy across the curriculum: The practice of freedom* (pp 89-103). Routledge.
- Ngo, B., Lewis, C., & Maloney Leaf, B. (2017). Fostering sociopolitical consciousness with minoritized youth: Insights from community-based arts programs. *Review of Research in Education*, 41, 358-380. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0091732X17690122>
- North, Connie E. (2008). What is all this talk about 'social justice'? Mapping the terrain of education's latest catchphrase. *Teachers College Record*, 110, 1182–1206.
- Palmer, E. D. (2018). Literature review of social justice in music education: Acknowledging oppression and privilege. *National Association for Music Education*, 36(2), 22-31.
- Quinn, T. (2010). Social Justice and Arts Education: Spheres of Freedom. In Thandeka K. Chapman and Nikola Hobbel (Eds.), *Social justice pedagogy across the curriculum: The practice of freedom* (pp. 223-233). Routledge.
- Rashid, K. (2016). Start the revolution: Hip hop music and social justice education. *Journal of Pan African Studies*, 9(4), 341-363.
- Rozansky, C. L., & Santos, C. (2009). Boal's image theatre creates a space for critical literacy in third-graders. *Reading Improvement*, 46(3), 178–188. Retrieved from <http://search.ebscohost.com.ezproxy.lakeheadu.ca/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eue&AN=508098242&site=eds-live&scope=site>
- Saldana, J. (2010). Exploring the stigmatized child through theatre of the oppressed techniques. In P. Duffy & E. Vettrano (Eds.), *Youth and Theatre of the Oppressed* (pp. 45-63). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Siegesmund, R. (2013). Art education and a democratic citizenry. *iJADE*, 32(3), 300-308.

Silva, J. E., & Menezes, I. (2016). Art education for citizenship: Augusto Boal's theater of the oppressed as a method for democratic empowerment. *Journal of Social Science Education*, 15(4), 40–49. <https://doi-org.ezproxy.lakeheadu.ca/10.2390/jsse-v15-i4-1507>

Stahl, S. (2018). Acting out to call in: Practicing theatre of the oppressed with high school students. *The Educational Forum*, 82, 369-373. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131725.2018.1457123>

Vettraino, E. (2010). Silent screaming and the power of stillness: Theatre of the oppressed within mainstream elementary education. In P. Duffy & E. Vettraino (Eds.), *Youth and Theatre of the Oppressed* (pp. 63-83). Palgrave Macmillan.

Wang, H. (2013). A nonviolent approach to social justice education. *Educational Studies*, 49, 485-503. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131946.2013.844147>

Ward, S. A. (2013). African dance aesthetics in a K-12 dance setting: From history to social justice. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, 84(7), 31–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07303084.2013.817924>

Westheimer, J., & Kahne, J. (2004). What kind of citizen? The politics of educating for democracy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 41(2), 237-269. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312041002237>